Local AO 472 (Rev. 5/19)

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

United States of America,	
Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
vs.	) Case No. 3:24-cr-99
Trina Marie Falcon,	
Defendant.	)
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 of the defendant.	3142(f), I conclude that the following facts require the detention
	AND CONCLUSIONS
Alternative A – The Court finds:	
	ndant has committed an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3),
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and has been convicted of an offense
described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2); and  (2) (a) Defendant has not presented sufficient evidenthat basis, or	ence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on
	t to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption tion is warranted.
Alternative B – The Court finds one or more of the follow	ring:
(1) The Government has proved by preponderance of reasonably assure defendant's appearance.	the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
(2) The Government has proved by clear and convinc	ing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably ensure the safety of other persons or the	
obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice, or threaten,	e of the evidence that there is a serious risk that defendant will injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threaten, injure, or intimidate,
a prospective witness or juror.	
Alternative C – The Court finds one of the following:	
(1) Defendant does not contest detention at this time.	•
(2) Defendant is not eligible for release at this time. (3) Defendant shall remain in custody until a residen	tial reentry placement is available.

## PART II – WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

On June 28, 2024, the court held a hearing on the United States' motion for pretrial detention of Trina Marie Falcon. The United States asserted Falcon did not present evidence sufficient to rebut the 18 U.S.C. § 3142 presumption that applies because of the nature of the charges against Falcon. Additionally, the United States proffered evidence of Falcon's involvement in trafficking a very large quantity of controlled substances. The Pretrial Services Officer, who is not to consider the § 3142 presumption or the weight of the evidence, recommended release to a residential reentry center when funding for that placement becomes available. Falcon presented no confirmed release plan that the court considers to be viable. At this time, the court finds Falcon has not rebutted the § 3142 presumption, but might consider future proof of admission to an approved residential substance abuse treatment center sufficient to rebut the presumption.

## PART III – DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with court proceedings.

Date: 6/28/2024	/s/ Alice R. Senechal
	United States Magistrate Judge